

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,962	12/30/2005	Thomas Weckerling	GKNG 1274 PCT 4194	
27256	7590 12/11/2007		EXAMINER	
Dickinson Wr 38525 Woodw			BINDA, GREGORY JOHN	
Suite 2000 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bloomine a 11	Biodifficia IIIIIs, MI 40304		3679	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/562,962	WECKERLING, THOMAS			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Greg Binda	3679			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
Period fo	or Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'	, V IS SET TO EYDIRE 2 MONTH	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 November 2007.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,36-49 and 66-69</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
,	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· <u> </u>	6) Claim(s) <u>1,36-49 and 66-69</u> is/are rejected.					
, —	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
ا_(٥	oralin(s) are subject to recircular areas	. •				
	ion Papers					
	9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 November 2007</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
*	application from the International Burea		ved :			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date			
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application			

Application/Control Number:

10/562,962 Art Unit: 3679

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Drawings

- 2. The replacement drawings filed November 13, 2007 are objected to because:
 - a. Fig. 4 fails to show the claim 1 limitation, "a center angle (β) being defined between said central joint plane (E) and a line through said joint center M and said turning point T1-2". Instead Fig. 4A shows the center angle (β) being defined between said central joint plane (E) and rays through the ball center as described in paragraph 0037.
 - b. Reference numerals 312 & 412 are both used to identify "a second possible embodiment of an inventive outer joint part". See paragraphs 0044 & 0045.
- 3. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an

10/562,962 Art Unit: 3679

application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 4. The substitute specification filed November 13, 2007 is objected to because:
 - a. In paragraphs 0036 & 0039, the aperture 25 is identified by the wrong reference numeral.
 - b. Paragraph 0044 describes "second possible embodiment of an inventive outer joint part 312" and paragraph 0045 describes a second "second possible embodiment of an inventive outer joint part 412".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 1, 36-49 & 66-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 1, lines 32 & 33, recites the limitation, "said center engine (β) being greater than 4°". Applicant has not pointed out where this limitation is supported, nor does there appear to be a written description of the limitation in the application as originally filed.

Application/Control Number:

10/562,962 Art Unit: 3679

6. Claims 1, 36-49 & 66-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

- a. Claim 1, line 31 recites the limitation, "a center angle (β) being defined between said central joint plane (E) and a line through said joint center M and said turning point T1-2". However in the specification at paragraph 0037, the center angle (β) is defined between the central joint plane (E) and rays through the ball center.
- b. Claim 36 recites the limitation, "a center angle (β) . . . greater than 5° " and claim 37 recites the limitation, "a center angle (β) . . . less than 12° ". Though these limitations are parroted in the summary, there is no explanation or suggestion in the specification explaining how to provide such structure or why it is necessary.
- c. Claim 39 depends from claim 38, but the limitations in claims 38 & 39 are mutually exclusive. The limitations of claim 38 are particular to the structure in Fig. 5 and the limitations in claim 39 are particular to the alternate structure in Fig. 7.
- d. Claim 40 depends from claim 38, but the limitations in claims 38 & 40 are mutually exclusive. The limitations of claim 38 are particular to the structure in Fig. 5 and the limitations in claim 40 are particular to the alternate structure in Fig. 8.
- e. Claim 48 depends from claim 1 and recites the limitation, "8-ball joint" but the limitations at the end of claim 1 apply only to a six ball joint. See paragraph 0054.

10/562,962 Art Unit: 3679

- 7. Claims 1, 36-49 & 66-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. Claim 1 recites the limitation "said center engine" in line 32. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
 - b. Claim 41 recites the limitation, "a radius (R_2) . It is unclear if this radius (R_2) is the same as or different from that recited in claim 1.
 - c. Claims 42 & 43 recite the limitation, "a first radius (R_2) ". It is unclear if this radius is the same as or different from the radius (R_2) recited in claim 1.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number:

10/562,962 Art Unit: 3679

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (571) 272-7077. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 am to 7:00 pm with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Greg Binda Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3679